

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/647,513	11/13/2000	Siegfried Schustek	1326	8193		
759	90 02/03/2003					
Striker Striker & Stenby			EXAMINER			
103 East Neck F Huntington, NY			PANG, RO	PANG, ROGER L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3681			
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
. Advisory Action	09/647,513	SCHUSTEK ET AL.					
· · Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Roger L Pang	3681					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 14 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appet Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice) a timely filed amendment whital al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ply to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three molearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.5 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate ee. The appropriate exthe final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.					
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) 🛮 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);					
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);						
(c) ★ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) 🖾 they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been con:	sidered but does No	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or t ould be rejected is provided bel	o)∏ will be entered low or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-7 and 11-16</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)∏ approved or b)∏ disap	proved by the Exar	niner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement		_					
10. Other:	RICHARD M. Loren Primary Examina A U 368/	ce ///	⁷ રેઉ				

Application No.





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new claims present additional limitations that were not previously considered. The prior rejections still stand, as an auxiliary system may be a generator (as noted by the applicant). The auxiliary system has not been limited to "not a motor/generator." Also, since the independent claims are rejected, there is no general inventive idea, therefore the election/restriction is still legitimate. Applicant's arguments have been considered, but are not persuasive.